JOURNAL OF HEALTHCARE SCIENCES

Volume 5 Issue 12 2025, Article ID: JOHS2025001134

http://dx.doi.org/10.52533/JOHS.2025.51202

e-ISSN: 1658-8967

Review

Screening Tools for Early Detection of Dementia in Routine Clinical Visits

Fisal Fahad Magliah[1*], Fatima Sami Alibrahim[2], Wael Abdullah Alqurashi[3], Amani Faleh Alrashedi[4], Mortada Muneer Albaqshi[5], Robba Awad Alraddadi[6], Shatha Awad Alraddadi[7]

[1] *Department of Family Medicine, Al Thager Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia*
[2] *Department of Family Medicine, Ministry of Health, Al Ahsa, Saudi Arabia*
[3]*Department of Emergency Medicine, King Abdulaziz Hospital, Mecca, Saudi Arabia*
[4] *Department of Family Medicine, Alwiday Primary Healthcare Center, Hail, Saudi Arabia*
[5] *Department of Family Medicine, Ministry of Health, Al Mubarraz, Saudi Arabia*
[6] *Department of Family Medicine, Alwaha Primary Healthcare Center, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia*
[7] *Department of Family Medicine, Alsharafeya Primary Healthcare Center, Ministry of Health, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia*

Correspondence should be addressed **Fisal Fahad Magliah**, Family Department of Family Medicine, Al Thager Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, Email: fm1411dr@gmail.com

Received: 19 November 2025, Accepted: 02 December 2025, Published: 03 December 2025.

Abstract

Dementia is a growing global health concern with millions of individuals affected and many more remaining undiagnosed due to delays in recognition. Routine clinical visits offer a key window for early detection, yet cognitive screening is not consistently practiced across healthcare settings. A variety of screening instruments exist, including the Mini-Mental State Examination, Montreal Cognitive Assessment, Mini Cog, and General Practitioner Assessment of Cognition. These tools differ in their sensitivity, specificity, cultural adaptability, and ease of administration, which influences their application in daily practice. Despite their clinical validity, widespread implementation of these tools faces persistent challenges. Time pressure during consultations, limited provider training, and discomfort in initiating conversations about memory loss contribute to low screening rates. Additionally, ambiguous guidelines and insufficient reimbursement mechanisms reduce the incentive to incorporate cognitive assessments into standard care routines. Patient reluctance, often rooted in stigma or denial, further complicates efforts to normalize screening. Facilitators such as integrating cognitive prompts into electronic health records, training support staff to conduct assessments, and using linguistically and culturally appropriate materials have shown potential in improving uptake. Technological advancements in digital testing platforms also offer promises for more scalable and consistent screening practices. Strengthening early detection of dementia depends on coordinated actions across clinical, administrative, and policy levels, aligning cognitive health more closely with routine medical priorities.

Keywords: *dementia screening, cognitive assessment, early detection, primary care, implementation barriers*

Introduction

Dementia is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder that significantly impairs memory, thinking, behavior, and the ability to perform everyday activities. As the global population ages, dementia has emerged as one of the leading causes of disability and dependency among older adults. According to estimates from the World Health Organization, over 55 million people worldwide are currently living with dementia, with nearly 10 million new cases diagnosed each year (1). Despite this growing burden, a substantial proportion of individuals with dementia remain undiagnosed, particularly in the early stages when interventions may be most beneficial.

Early detection of dementia offers several advantages, including the opportunity to initiate pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions, manage comorbidities more effectively, plan for future care, and improve overall patient and caregiver quality of life (2). Nonetheless, dementia is often underdiagnosed in primary care settings due to several factors, including time constraints, lack of standardized screening protocols, and limited training in cognitive assessment among general practitioners. Moreover, patients may be reluctant to report cognitive decline, and symptoms may be mistakenly attributed to normal aging or other medical conditions.

To address this diagnostic gap, several brief cognitive screening tools have been developed for use in routine clinical settings. These tools aim to provide a quick yet reliable assessment of cognitive function that can be feasibly administered during standard patient visits. Commonly used instruments include the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), the General Practitioner Assessment of Cognition (GPCOG), and the Mini-Cog. Each tool varies in its sensitivity, specificity, cultural adaptability, and ease of use in different clinical environments (3). While these tools do not replace comprehensive neuropsychological evaluation, they serve as valuable first-line assessments that can prompt timely referrals for further testing.

The integration of screening into routine clinical practice remains a complex issue, influenced by healthcare infrastructure, clinician workload, patient characteristics, and policy support. Although guidelines from various organizations advocate for increased vigilance in cognitive assessment, particularly in high-risk populations, there remains debate about the effectiveness and appropriateness of universal versus targeted screening approaches (4). Furthermore, with advances in digital health and artificial intelligence, new screening modalities such as computerized cognitive tests, smartphone-based applications, and voice analysis tools are being explored, potentially enhancing the scalability and accessibility of dementia screening in clinical care.

Understanding the current landscape of dementia screening tools, along with their practical implementation challenges and future potential, is critical for improving early diagnosis and outcomes for patients with dementia. As healthcare systems confront the rising tide of cognitive disorders, optimizing detection strategies within routine clinical workflows is becoming an urgent priority.

Discussion

Early detection of dementia remains a critical component in improving clinical outcomes, yet its practical integration into routine care continues to face systemic challenges. While tools such as the Mini-Cog and MoCA have demonstrated acceptable diagnostic accuracy, their real-world application often depends on time availability, provider training, and patient receptivity. Studies show that even brief cognitive assessments can be underutilized due to competing clinical priorities and limited confidence among general practitioners in interpreting results (5). Moreover, cultural and linguistic differences may influence the validity of certain tools, underscoring the importance of context-specific adaptations to ensure equitable screening across diverse populations.

http://dx.doi.org/10.52533/JOHS.2025.51202

In recent years, the rise of digital health solutions has opened new avenues for scalable cognitive assessments. Digital tools, such as tablet-based assessments and speech recognition algorithms, offer promising accuracy while requiring minimal clinician involvement, potentially alleviating existing workflow burdens. Pilot programs integrating such tools into primary care have shown encouraging results in terms of usability and early identification (6). However, widespread adoption still requires validation studies, regulatory guidance, and clinician buy-in. Ultimately, the successful implementation of dementia screening tools in routine clinical visits hinges not only on test performance but also on structural support, training, and public awareness to normalize cognitive health monitoring.

Comparative Effectiveness of Common Dementia Screening Instruments

The accuracy, practicality, and predictive value of dementia screening tools significantly shape their clinical utility. Among the most widely used is the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), a 30-point scale introduced in the 1970s, which remains embedded in many clinical workflows. While MMSE offers a structured evaluation of orientation, attention, memory, language, and visuospatial skills, it has notable limitations. Its sensitivity to mild cognitive impairment is relatively low, especially in well-educated individuals, and performance may be skewed by age, literacy, and cultural factors (7). Despite its shortcomings, MMSE's familiarity among clinicians contributes to its ongoing use, though there is increasing acknowledgment of its ceiling effects and limited ability to detect early-stage dementia.

To address these limitations, the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) was developed with a sharper focus on executive function, abstraction, and delayed recall. Compared to MMSE, MoCA consistently demonstrates superior sensitivity to mild cognitive changes, making it particularly useful in identifying early Alzheimer's disease and vascular cognitive impairment (8). In a range of studies, MoCA outperforms MMSE in distinguishing normal aging from pathological decline, though it typically requires more time to administer and demands a higher level of clinician involvement. Moreover, education-adjusted scoring is necessary to avoid overdiagnosis among individuals with lower educational backgrounds, reflecting the importance of demographic calibration in tool application.

For settings where time constraints are a priority, instruments like the Mini-Cog provide an efficient alternative. Combining a three-word recall test with a clock drawing task, the Mini-Cog is brief and requires minimal training to administer. It performs reasonably well in differentiating dementia from normal cognition and is less influenced by language or education level compared to the MMSE (9). Its simplicity makes it appealing for use in busy clinical environments, including primary care or acute hospital settings, where comprehensive cognitive testing is impractical. However, the binary scoring system can limit its granularity, especially in cases of subtle impairment.

In contrast, tools designed specifically for general practice environments, such as the General Practitioner Assessment of Cognition (GPCOG), offer an integrated approach that includes both cognitive testing and informant input. This dual-format structure enhances diagnostic accuracy, particularly when collateral information is available. GPCOG's utility is especially apparent in identifying functional decline alongside cognitive symptoms, a key feature of dementia diagnosis. It has also been validated across diverse populations and languages, supporting broader implementation in community settings (10).

The trade-off between test length and diagnostic value continues to shape tool selection in real-world contexts. While longer instruments like MoCA may yield more detailed assessments, time-efficient tools such as Mini-Cog or GPCOG provide acceptable accuracy within tighter clinical schedules. The choice of screening instrument often reflects a balance between logistical feasibility and the need for precision, guided by patient profile, clinician expertise, and the healthcare setting. These tools are not interchangeable; each brings distinct strengths

http://dx.doi.org/10.52533/JOHS.2025.51202

and limitations that must be evaluated against the objectives of the screening program and the stage of disease being targeted.

Barriers and Facilitators to Implementation in Routine Clinical Practice

Integrating dementia screening into routine clinical visits presents a mix of logistical, perceptual, and systemic complexities. Time scarcity remains among the most cited barriers. In busy primary care settings, clinicians often manage multiple comorbidities under tight schedules, leaving limited opportunity for additional cognitive assessments. Even when brief tools exist, the perception that screening might disrupt workflow or prolong visits can lead to avoidance. Studies show that primary care physicians frequently deprioritize cognitive testing in favor of more immediate medical concerns, especially when patients do not self-report cognitive symptoms (11).

Confidence in administering and interpreting cognitive screening tools also plays a central role. Some practitioners report discomfort with differentiating between normal aging and pathological decline, particularly in the early stages where signs are subtle. Uncertainty surrounding next steps after a positive screen—such as referrals, patient communication, or further testing—can discourage clinicians from initiating the process. Furthermore, the emotional weight of discussing possible dementia often triggers hesitancy, driven by concerns about stigmatizing the patient or damaging trust in the clinical relationship (12). These interpersonal dynamics shape whether and how screening is introduced, often tipping the balance toward inaction when practitioners lack support structures.

Reimbursement policies and guideline inconsistencies contribute to variability in practice. While some healthcare systems incentivize cognitive assessment through structured annual wellness visits or quality metrics, others provide no formal reimbursement pathways, discouraging routine implementation. Ambiguities in national or regional guidelines regarding whom to screen and how frequently add to the inertia. Even when guidelines exist, they often lack detail on the choice of screening instruments, training requirements, or care pathways following detection. This regulatory vagueness makes dementia screening appear optional or burdensome rather than standard care, particularly in systems that emphasize throughput and efficiency (13).

On the facilitating end, collaborative care models and integrated electronic health systems have shown promise. Embedding prompts for cognitive assessment within electronic medical records can increase screening rates, especially when paired with workflow protocols and decision support tools. Interdisciplinary teams that include nurses, physician assistants, or care coordinators can distribute responsibility for administering assessments, alleviating pressure on physicians. In practices where staff are trained and empowered to engage in cognitive health conversations, screening becomes a shared process rather than an isolated clinical act.

Cultural attitudes toward aging and dementia also influence uptake. In communities where cognitive decline is considered a normal or private matter, patients may resist evaluation or downplay symptoms. Conversely, where awareness campaigns or caregiver advocacy are strong, individuals and families are more likely to accept screening as a routine aspect of aging care. Language access and culturally adapted tools are critical in multilingual settings, as standard assessments may not translate well across populations. Research in diverse clinics underscores the importance of tailoring communication strategies and materials to build trust and overcome fear or misconceptions about dementia screening (14).

Future Directions

Clinicians often navigate dementia screening within systems that are not designed to prioritize cognitive health. A key limitation lies in the structural rigidity of appointment schedules that emphasize biomedical metrics, while cognitive assessments remain optional or entirely absent. When dementia screening is not hardwired into care pathways or

http://dx.doi.org/10.52533/JOHS.2025.51202

incentivized through institutional protocols, it competes with urgent somatic concerns for attention during short visits. This misalignment between systemic priorities and clinical need creates friction. In surveys, general practitioners consistently cite competing demands as a reason for deprioritizing screening, even when aware of its value (15).

Moreover, emotional labor in addressing cognitive concerns complicates the equation. Patients or families rarely view cognitive decline neutrally. It evokes fear, denial, and stigma, often leaving clinicians cautious about broaching the subject. When no definitive cure exists and outcomes are uncertain, many practitioners hesitate to open conversations that could distress patients without offering immediate solutions. This is intensified in practices with limited referral resources or fragmented mental health networks, where a positive screen may lead to diagnostic limbo. Without clear pathways to specialist input or supportive services, screening risks becoming a clinical dead end (16).

Digital tools and automation have started to address some of these gaps, but adoption remains inconsistent. Clinics equipped with electronic health records that include dementia prompts and auto-scoring functions report higher screening rates. However, not all systems are interoperable or intuitive, and the burden of digital documentation can deter use if workflows are not well-integrated. Technology, while promising, is not a plug-and-play fix. In fact, user resistance—whether due to lack of training, discomfort with digital interfaces, or privacy can slow progress. Where tech support is limited or where staff turnover is high, the sustainability of digital screening interventions becomes fragile (17).

The human element of facilitation cannot be overstated. Clinics that invest in training frontline staff, such as nurses, medical assistants, and receptionists, not only expand the pool of individuals capable of performing screenings but also shift the cultural tone around cognitive health. When screening is framed as a routine, non-threatening component of aging care, patient acceptance increases. This normalization requires consistent messaging, often beginning with intake forms or waiting room materials. Staff engagement extends to recognizing linguistic and cultural variation in how memory loss is described, understood, or disclosed. For instance, in some communities, the concept of memory loss may be expressed more in behavioral terms than in cognitive language, requiring tailored communication strategies (18).

Policy-level facilitators also play a defining role. When national guidelines are specific, well-disseminated, and backed by funding for implementation, clinicians feel authorized and expected to screen. Countries that embed cognitive assessments into standardized check-ups or mandate quality indicators around dementia care tend to show greater consistency across practices. In contrast, where guidelines are ambiguous or discretionary, uptake is left to individual motivation, which can be highly variable depending on clinician experience, burnout levels, or attitudes toward aging and dementia.

Conclusion

Early detection of dementia during routine clinical visits remains both a clinical opportunity and a systemic challenge. Screening tools vary in effectiveness, and their success hinges on thoughtful integration into practice. Overcoming barriers demands coordinated effort across policy, technology, and clinician engagement. Sustainable implementation will depend on aligning cognitive health with routine standards of care.

Disclosure

Conflict of interest

There is no conflict of interest.

Funding

No funding.

Ethical consideration

Non applicable.

http://dx.doi.org/10.52533/JOHS.2025.51202

Data availability

Data that support the findings of this study are embedded within the manuscript.

Author contribution

All authors contributed to conceptualizing, data drafting, collection and final writing of the manuscript.

References

1. Organization WH. Global status report on the public health response to dementia. 2021.

2. Dubois B, Hampel H, Feldman HH, Scheltens P, Aisen P, Andrieu S, et al. Preclinical Alzheimer's disease: definition, natural history, and diagnostic criteria. Alzheimer's & Dementia. 2016;12(3):292-323.

3. Tsoi KK, Chan JY, Hirai HW, Wong SY, Kwok TC. Cognitive tests to detect dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA internal medicine. 2015;175(9):1450-8.

4. Cordell CB, Borson S, Boustani M, Chodosh J, Reuben D, Verghese J, et al. Alzheimer's Association recommendations for operationalizing the detection of cognitive impairment during the Medicare Annual Wellness Visit in a primary care setting. Alzheimer's & Dementia. 2013;9(2):141-50.

5. Aminzadeh F, Molnar FJ, Dalziel WB, Ayotte D. A review of barriers and enablers to diagnosis and management of persons with dementia in primary care. Canadian Geriatrics Journal. 2012;15(3):85.

6. Rentz DM, Dekhtyar M, Sherman J, Burnham S, Blacker D, Aghjayan SL, et al. The feasibility of at-home iPad cognitive testing for use in clinical trials. The journal of prevention of Alzheimer's disease. 2016;3(1):8.

7. Tombaugh TN, McIntyre NJ. The mini-mental state examination: a comprehensive review. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 1992;40(9):922-35.

8. Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bédirian V, Charbonneau S, Whitehead V, Collin I, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2005;53(4):695-9.

9. Borson S, Scanlan JM, Chen P, Ganguli M. The Mini-Cog as a screen for dementia: validation in a population-based sample. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2003;51(10):1451-4.

10. Brodaty H, Pond D, Kemp NM, Luscombe G, Harding L, Berman K, et al. The GPCOG: a new screening test for dementia designed for general practice. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2002;50(3):530-4.

11. Boise L, Camicioli R, Morgan DL, Rose JH, Congleton L. Diagnosing dementia: perspectives of primary care physicians. The Gerontologist. 1999;39(4):457-64.

12. Zhao W, Jones C, Wu ML, Moyle W. Healthcare professionals' dementia knowledge and attitudes towards dementia care and family carers' perceptions of dementia care in China: An integrative review. Journal of Clinical Nursing. 2022;31(13-14):1753-75.

13. Bradford A, Kunik ME, Schulz P, Williams SP, Singh H. Missed and delayed diagnosis of dementia in primary care: prevalence and contributing factors. Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders. 2009;23(4):306-14.

14. Iliffe S, Robinson L, Brayne C, Goodman C, Rait G, Manthorpe J, et al. Primary care and dementia: 1. diagnosis, screening and disclosure. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry: A journal of the psychiatry of late life and allied sciences. 2009;24(9):895-901.

15. Boustani M, Callahan CM, Unverzagt FW, Austrom MG, Perkins AJ, Fultz BA, et al. Implementing a screening and diagnosis program for dementia in primary care. Journal of general internal medicine. 2005;20(7):572-7.

16. Turner S, Iliffe S, Downs M, Wilcock J, Bryans M, Levin E, et al. General practitioners' knowledge, confidence and attitudes in the diagnosis and

 http://dx.doi.org/10.52533/JOHS.2025.51202

management of dementia. Age and ageing. 2004;33(5):461-7.

17. Lin JS, O'Connor E, Rossom RC, Perdue LA, Eckstrom E. Screening for cognitive impairment in older adults: a systematic review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. Annals of internal medicine. 2013;159(9):601-12.

18. Lin S-Y, Lewis FM. Dementia friendly, dementia capable, and dementia positive: concepts to prepare for the future. The Gerontologist. 2015;55(2):237-44.

http://dx.doi.org/10.52533/JOHS.2025.51202